

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
1187994.	537 12719	9/97 HORT	K OKTA-11

QM31/0218

EXAMINER

PANDISCIO & PANDISCIO 470 TOTTEN POND ROAD WALTHAM MA 02154

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3732

HIRSCH, P

DATE MAILED:

02/18/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/994,537

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit

Paul Hirsch

3732

Hori et al



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 20, 1998	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-5, 7-9, 11-20, and 22-35	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	ing Povious PTO 049
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objections.	· -
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is pproved disapproved.
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ne under 25 I.C.C. \$ 110(a) /d)
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	
received.	or the phonty documents have been
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	umber)
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	12/1
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/994537 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

The previous indication of allowability in the prior Office Action is withdrawn in view of a newly discovered reference.

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 20 and 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terwilliger in view of Thompson. Terwilliger teaches movement of a viewing device mounted at one end of a shaft and controlled movement by a flexible cable running in essentially an 8 pattern about mounts support axles and platforms. To the extent that Thompson is not explicit to a camera, to so mount a camera would be obvious from the teaching of Thompson. The routing and support of the cable and video cable is considered an obvious mechanical expedient.

In regard to claims 28-29 spring clutches for maintaining/disengaging drive is considered well known as a means of optional drive provision and accordingly would be an obvious mechanical design expedient.

3. Claims 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terwilliger and Thompson as applied to claims 1-5, 7-9, 20, 22-27, 30-32 above, and further in view of Komiya. To the extent that Komiya teaches the movement and control of surgical apparatus at a

Application/Control Number: 08/994537

Art Unit: 3732

Page 3

remote end of an endoscope assembly, the alternative mount of a further tool at the end of

Terwilliger and Thompson as applied would be obvious in the interest of providing remote and

actuation of surgical tools allowing more freedom of use.

4. Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

5. Claims 15-19 are allowed.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. B is cited as a teaching of spring tensioning.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Primary Examiner Paul Hirsch whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.